

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

AF Holdings LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

John Doe

Defendant.

Civil Nos. 12-1445 (JNE/FLN)
12-1446
12-1447
12-1448
12-1449

ORDER

THIS MATTER came before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff's motion for leave to take discovery prior to the Rule 26(f) conference (ECF No. 3). It is **HEREBY ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED**. [#3] *See Hard Drive Prods., Inc. v. Does 1-90*, 2012 WL 1094653 at *2-5 (N.D.Cal. Mar. 30, 2012) (denying motion for expedited discovery as to ISP subscriber information after finding, among other things, that: [1] the requested discovery was "not very likely" to reveal the identity of the alleged infringer; [2] personal jurisdiction may not exist over the alleged infringer; and [3] the pornographic copyright holder's activities in pursuit of settlement agreements may constitute an "abuse of the judicial system").

DATED: July 3, 2012

s/ Franklin L. Noel
FRANKLIN L. NOEL
United States Magistrate Judge