CASE 0:12-cv-01445-JNE-FLN Document 66 Filed 11/06/13 Page 1 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA _____) AF Holdings, LLC,) File No. CV-12-1445 (JNE/FLN)) File No. CV-12-1446 (JNE/FLN) Plaintiff,) File No. CV-12-1447 (JNE/FLN)) File No. CV-12-1448 (JNE/FLN)) File No. CV-12-1449 (JNE/FLN) vs.)) Minneapolis, Minnesota John Doe(s), September 30, 2013) Defendant(s).))) _____ BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANKLIN L. NOEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE (EXCERPT FROM EVIDENTIARY HEARING) APPEARANCES For the Plaintiff: Class Action Justice Institute PAUL R. HANSMEIER, ESQ. 40 South Seventh Street, #212-213 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 For Witness Alan Godfread Law Firm Cooper: PAUL A. GODFREAD, ESQ. 100 South Fifth Street, #1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Court Reporter: LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR 300 South Fourth Street, #1005 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1 2 (Excerpt from hearing) 3 * 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, one of the things I 5 said in the order was Mr. Cooper shall appear in person, which he did, and you examined him. Then I said, "An 6 7 officer of the plaintiff capable of testifying as to the 8 authenticity of each document," referring to Exhibit B to 9 the complaints, "shall appear in person at the evidentiary 10 hearing." Correct me if I'm wrong, but we have not heard 11 from anyone from AF Holdings. Is that correct? 12 MR. HANSMEIER: That's correct, Your Honor. Ι did --13 14 THE COURT: Just to make sure we're clear, we've 15 heard from Mr. Steele, who I'm not sure what his 16 relationship to all of this is other than he testified he 17 was a former law partner of I'm assuming you? 18 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And we've heard from Mr. Berry, who is 20 a real estate agent up in northern Minnesota? 21 MR. HANSMEIER: That's correct. 2.2 THE COURT: And we heard from Jason Flesher, who 23 was an acquaintance of Mr. Cooper, correct? 24 MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, a mutual friend of 25 Mr. Cooper's and Mr. Steele's.

1	THE COURT: And we've heard from Mr. Cooper. So
2	where's AF Holdings?
3	MR. HANSMEIER: I certainly understand the
4	question and I know that the plaintiff filed objections to
5	the order for Mr. Lutz to appear, but that aside, Mr. Lutz
6	was informed of the hearing. He indicated his intention to
7	attend the hearing and, in fact, I even have his boarding
8	pass that he was supposedly going to have to come here
9	today. I don't know why Mr. Lutz isn't here. I have not
10	been able to get in touch with him.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, you're representing to the
12	Court, is an officer of AF Holdings?
13	MR. HANSMEIER: The sole officer, yes.
14	THE COURT: The sole officer. Sole employee?
15	MR. HANSMEIER: I'm not sure I mean, I am
16	getting into
17	THE COURT: Okay. Never mind. I will withdraw
18	that question. But he's the guy who would be able to
19	testify on behalf of AF Holdings?
20	MR. HANSMEIER: He's the guy. And I would mention
21	that he has previously shown his willingness to attend
22	proceedings before this court. He did make or he did
23	take the opportunity to attend the August 5th case
24	management conference.
25	THE COURT: By telephone?

LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR (612) 664-5104 3

1	MR. HANSMEIER: He was here in person.
2	THE COURT: He was here in person?
3	MR. HANSMEIER: Absolutely.
4	THE COURT: And you expected him to be here today,
5	but he just failed to appear?
6	MR. HANSMEIER: Yes. And I have not been able
7	I have tried
8	THE COURT: You have tried to call him?
9	MR. HANSMEIER: obviously to try to reach him
10	via phone, via e-mail. I have not been able to reach him.
11	And, in fact, he was booked on the same flight as
12	Mr. Steele from Miami, Florida, and Mr. Steele showed up at
13	Mr. Lutz's apartment the morning of the flight and went into
14	the apartment and found the apartment that Mr. Lutz was
15	not in his apartment in about, I want to say, 7:00 a.m. or
16	so.
17	He drove around South Beach, Miami, looking for
18	Mr. Lutz at all of Mr. Lutz's usual places to recreate and
19	he was unable to find Mr. Lutz. And he talked with some of
20	Mr. Lutz's friends that he was able to get on the phone and
21	they said that Mr. Lutz had told everyone that he was
22	hanging out with that night that he needed to call make
23	it an early night because he was coming here to Minnesota to
24	testify in this case.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Lutz lives in Miami, Florida?

1	MR. HANSMEIER: He does.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Is there any other record you
3	want to make regarding your attempt to produce an officer of
4	AF Holdings to testify?
5	MR. HANSMEIER: With leave of the Court, I would
6	like to have an opportunity to file a declaration
7	explaining more or less recapping the circumstances
8	presented here, along with attaching his boarding pass to
9	show that he had, in fact, purchased a ticket.
10	THE COURT: How did you get ahold of his boarding
11	pass, just out of curiosity?
12	MR. HANSMEIER: Mr. Steele had it. That's why he
13	was at Mr. Lutz's apartment, he was going to pick him up to
14	go to the airport.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. GODFREAD: Your Honor, if I may note
17	THE COURT: Hold on one second. Anything else you
18	want to put on the record at all, then? You rest? You want
19	to file a declaration and then be done?
20	MR. HANSMEIER: That's correct, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat.
22	Mr. Godfread, something else you wanted to say?
23	MR. GODFREAD: Yes, Your Honor. I just briefly
24	wanted to call attention to the Court that Mr. Lutz has
25	failed to appear for other evidentiary hearings in the

1	MR. HANSMEIER: Objection, Your Honor. He
2	THE COURT: We're just arguing here. There's no
3	evidence being taken at the moment.
4	MR. GODFREAD: For example, a case pending an
5	AF Holdings case pending in the Northern District of
6	California, <u>AF Holdings v. Navasca</u> , Mr. Lutz was again
7	supposed to appear to testify for AF Holdings. He did not
8	show for a I believe it was an evidentiary hearing before
9	Magistrate Judge Vadas, if I am saying that correctly,
10	V-a-d-a-s, without explanation at the time. I believe he's
11	also failed to appear for a noticed deposition in a case
12	pending in Georgia, another AF Holdings case as well.
13	Nothing else. I just wanted to point out to the
14	Court that from my perspective I'm seeing a pattern of no
15	show when it's a matter of testifying under oath by
16	Mr. Lutz.
17	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hansmeier
18	MR. HANSMEIER: May I have rebuttal?
19	THE COURT: do you wish to respond to that?
20	MR. HANSMEIER: I mean, this is a pattern of
21	conduct by Attorney Godfread, presenting arguments to the
22	court without a full and fair explanation of what's on the
23	record and what he very well knows is on the record. This
24	is it's an incredible pattern of misconduct on
25	Mr. Godfread's part.

1	The explanation for the Northern District of
2	California case is that again Mr. Lutz intended to appear.
3	He had a plane ticket, but he was apparently detained by
4	agents in the airport, federal agents, who detained him for
5	ten hours before he could before they released him on his
6	own recognizance through no at least according to and
7	I am reading this through an affidavit. I don't have
8	personal knowledge of the circumstances, but this is an
9	affidavit that was filed in the case where Mr. Lutz
10	explained that he was detained in the airport. He was
11	released
12	THE COURT: By whom?
13	MR. HANSMEIER: Well, the affidavit says federal
14	agents. So it could be TSA. It could be who knows.
15	THE COURT: There's no identification of what
16	agents held him or for what purpose?
17	MR. HANSMEIER: I believe Mr. Lutz was asked to
18	file the affidavit, a more fuller explanation, under seal
19	for purposes of you know, it's an embarrassing
20	circumstance, but it was through no fault of his own, no
21	legal proceedings or anything.
22	And Mr. Lutz has appeared before a federal court
23	and testified under oath in the District of Arizona, subject
24	to cross examination, under oath, Judge Snow. And so
25	this

1	THE COURT: About what topics? The same topics
2	that are at issue here?
3	MR. HANSMEIER: The very same topics. It was a
4	hearing that was held shortly in the wake of Judge Wright's
5	order being issued and so Judge Snow of the District of
6	Arizona issued an order to show cause regarding ownership
7	issues and the Alan Cooper issue.
8	THE COURT: And did Mr. Lutz testify regarding the
9	authenticity of the assignment agreements at issue in this
10	case?
11	MR. HANSMEIER: I can't say specifically what his
12	testimony was in that case. I was not an attorney of record
13	on it and I was not at the hearing and I have not reviewed
14	the transcript of the hearing, but I am aware that the
15	order to show cause that issued had the concern of forgery
16	and the concern of the ownership structure and whether
17	there was adequate disclosure of who owns what in terms of
18	AF Holdings.
19	THE COURT: All right. Have I heard you out?
20	MR. HANSMEIER: And as for the deposition thing, I
21	just don't know what the circumstances are about that. But
$\angle \perp$	
22	yes.
	yes. THE COURT: All right. I will take all of this
22	

9

1	Mr. Lutz. I will take the matter under advisement and issue
2	an order in due course. Thank you all very much for coming.
3	We are in recess.
4	* * *
5	(Excerpt from hearing concluded)
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
12	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
13	above-entitled matter.
14	
15	Certified by: <u>s/ Lori A. Simpson</u>
16	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	